

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/858,322	05/15/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0839	3081
53184 7	590 11/27/2006	EXAMINER		INER
i2 TECHNOLOGIES US, INC.			NGUYEN, CUONG H	
ONE i2 PLACE, 11701 LUNA ROAD DALLAS, TX 75234			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/858,322	TENORIO, MANOEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>					
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	<u>igust 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10,27-36.41 and 43 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-10,27-36,41 and 43 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3661

## Status of the claims

1. Claims 1-10, 27-36, 41, and 43 are pending with the Response (8/15/2006).

## Response

2. The examiner respectfully submits that because claimed "pointer" is an essential subject matter of this invention – representing by software instructions, therefore pending claims 1-10, 27-36, 41, and 43 contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that to duplicate this invention without trial and error.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in <u>such full, clear, concise</u>, and <u>exact terms</u> as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-10, 27-36, 41, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. These claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that to duplicate this invention without trial and error.

Claims 1-10, 27-36, 41, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because: they are <u>essentially directed to a software</u> imbedded in a computer-readable media and using in a system – even pending claims are directed to "system" because incorporating that specific software (a MUST) can be considered as a brain of this invention (while the submitted specification provides nothing to enable one with ordinary skill in the art to practice – non

Art Unit: 3661

enablement); and the specification <u>does not reasonably provide enablement of a claimed software</u> for use in a claimed system. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims (the dependent claims of above independent claims are also rejected on 35 USC 112, 1st para. because they include above defective). The applicant only provides a very high level drawing (i.e., Fig. 5), not the essential codes and instructions – the subject matter of this invention - to make this invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6759. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THOMAS G. BLACK can be reached on 571-272-6956. The Rightfax number for the examiner where this application is assigned is 571-273-6759.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/858,322

Art Unit: 3661

Please provide support, with page and line numbers, for any amended or new claim in

Page 4

an effort to help advance prosecution; otherwise any new claim language that is introduced in

an amended or new claim may be considered as new matter, especially if the Application is a

Jumbo Application.

CUONG(H. NG

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3661